



Some preliminary math

$A \subseteq B$ every element of A is in B

$A \subset B$ if $A \subseteq B$ and there is one element of B not in A

$A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$ implies $A = B$

$$A \cup B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$$

$$(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i)$$

$$A \cap B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$$

$$(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i)$$

$$A \setminus B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$$

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\} \quad \text{ordered pairs}$$

$$(\times_{i=1}^n A_i)$$

$$2^A = \{X \mid X \subseteq A\}$$

powerset

$R \subseteq A \times B$ is a relation on sets A and B ($R \subseteq \times_{i=1}^n A_i$)

$(a, b) \in R \equiv R(a, b) \equiv aRb$ notation

$Id_A = \{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$ (identity)

$R^{-1} = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in R\} \subseteq B \times A$ (inverse)

$R_1 \cdot R_2 = \{(x, z) \mid \exists y \in B. (x, y) \in R_1 \wedge (y, z) \in R_2\} \subseteq A \times C$ (composition)

Some basic constructions

$$\begin{aligned} R^0 &= Id_A \\ R^{n+1} &= R \cdot R^n \\ R^* &= \bigcup_{n \geq 0} R^n \\ R^+ &= \bigcup_{n \geq 1} R^n \end{aligned}$$

Note that: $R^1 = R \cdot R^0 = R$, $R^* = Id_A \cup R^+$ and

$$R^+ = \{(x, y) \mid \exists n, \exists x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ with } x_i R x_{i+1} \ (1 \leq i \leq n-1), x_1 = x, x_n = y\}$$

Binary Relations

A binary relation $R \subseteq A \times A$ is

(same set A)

reflexive: $\forall x \in A: (x, x) \in R,$

symmetric: $\forall x, y \in A: (x, y) \in R \Rightarrow (y, x) \in R,$

antisymmetric: $\forall x, y \in A: (x, y) \in R \wedge (y, x) \in R \Rightarrow x = y;$

transitive: $\forall x, y, z \in A: (x, y) \in R \wedge (y, z) \in R \Rightarrow (x, z) \in R$

Closure of Relations

$$S = R \cup Id_A$$

the reflexive closure of R

$$S = R \cup R^{-1}$$

the symmetric closure of R

$$S = R^+$$

the transitive closure of R

$$S = R^*$$

the reflexive and transitive closure of R

A relation R is

- ▶ an **order** if it is reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive
- ▶ an **equivalence** if it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive
- ▶ a **preorder** if it is reflexive and transitive

Examples

- ▶ **orders**: less-than-or-equal-to (\leq) on \mathbb{R} , set inclusion (\subseteq),...
- ▶ **equivalences**: equal-to ($=$) on \mathbb{R} , congruent-mod- n ($\equiv \pmod{n}$),...
- ▶ **preorders**: reachability in graphs, subtyping or behavioural relations, ...

Kernel relation

- ▶ Given a preorder R its **kernel**, $K = R \cap R^{-1}$, is an equivalence relation

Equivalence Classes and Quotient Set

Example: $R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid (x \equiv y) \pmod{3}\}$

$R(7, 7), R(7, 1), R(1, 7), R(7, 10), R(1, 10), \dots$

$$[0] = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots\}$$

equivalence classes:

$$[1] = \{1, 4, 7, 10, \dots\}$$

- have a representative

$$[2] = \{2, 5, 8, 11, \dots\}$$

- are disjoint

An **equivalence class** is a subset C of A such that

$$x, y \in C \Rightarrow (x, y) \in R \quad \text{consistent} \quad \text{and}$$

$$x \in C \wedge (x, y) \in R \Rightarrow y \in C \quad \text{saturated}$$

The **quotient set** Q_A^R of A modulo R **is a partition of A**
is the set of equivalence classes induced by R on A

Example: $R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid (x \equiv y) \pmod{3}\}$

$$Q_{\mathbb{N}}^R = \{[0], [1], [2]\}$$

Partial Functions

A *partial function* is a relation $f \subseteq A \times B$ such that

$$\forall x, y, z. (x, y) \in f \wedge (x, z) \in f \Rightarrow y = z$$

We denote partial function by $f : A \rightarrow B$

Total Functions

A (total) *function* is a **partial** function $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\forall x \exists y. (x, y) \in f$$

We denote **total** function by $f : A \rightarrow B$

Functions (total or partial) can be *monotone, continuous, injective, surjective, bijective, invertible...*

Mathematical Induction

To prove that $P(n)$ holds for every natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$, prove

1. $P(0)$
2. for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $P(k)$ implies $P(k + 1)$

Example: Show that $sum(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

(1) $sum(0) = \frac{0(0+1)}{2} = 0$ *base case*

(2) to show: $\sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$

assume $sum(n) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$, for a generic n

$sum(n + 1) = sum(n) + (n + 1) =$ *properties of summation*

$= \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n + 1)$ *inductive hypothesis*

$= \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$ □

Some “advanced” proof methods

1. **Proof by obviousness**: So evident it need not to be mentioned
2. **Proof by general agreement**: All in favor?
3. **Proof by majority**: When general agreement fails
4. **Proof by plausibility**: It sounds good
5. **Proof by intuition**: I have this feeling. . .
6. **Proof by lost reference**: I saw it somewhere
7. **Proof by obscure reference**: It appeared in the Annals of
Polish Math. Soc. (1854, in polish)
8. **Proof by logic**: It is on the textbook, hence it must be true
9. **Proof by intimidation**: Who is saying that it is false!?
10. **Proof by authority**: Don Knuth said it was true
11. **Proof by deception**: Everybody please turn their backs . . .
12. **Proof by divine word**: Lord said let it be true

Inductively Defined Sets

basis: the set I of initial elements of S

induction: rules R for constructing elements in S from elements in S

closure: S is the least set containing I and closed w.r.t. R

\mathbb{N} = Natural numbers

$I = \{0\}$, R_1 : if $X \in \mathbb{N}$ then $s(X) \in \mathbb{N}$

$\mathbb{N} = \{0, s(0), s(s(0)), \dots\}$

$L_{\mathbb{N}}$ = lists of elements of \mathbb{N}

$I = \{[]\}$, R_1 : if $X \in L_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $[n|X] \in L_{\mathbb{N}}$

$L_{\mathbb{N}} = \{[], [0], [1], [2], \dots, [0, 0], [0, 1], [0, 2], \dots, [1, 0], [1, 1], [1, 2], \dots\}$

Tr = n-ary trees

$I = \{\varepsilon\}$, R_1 : if $X_1, \dots, X_n \in Tr$ for any n , then $t(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in Tr$

$Tr = \{\varepsilon, t(\varepsilon), t(\varepsilon, \varepsilon), \dots, t(t(\varepsilon)), \dots, t(\varepsilon, t(t(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)), t(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, \varepsilon)), \dots\}$

Let us consider a set S inductively defined by a set $C = \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ of constructors of **arity** $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ with

- ▶ $I = \{c_i() \mid a_i = 0\}$
- ▶ R_j : if $X_1, \dots, X_{a_j} \in S$ then $c_j(X_1, \dots, X_{a_j}) \in S$

Principle of Structural Induction

To prove that $P(x)$ holds for every x of a structurally defined set S , it is sufficient to prove that

$$P(s_1), \dots, P(s_k) \implies P(c_k(s_1, \dots, s_k)) \quad \text{if}$$

- ▶ for every constructor $c_k \in C$ and
- ▶ for every $s_1, \dots, s_k \in S$, where k is the arity of c_k

The base case is the one dealing with **constructors of arity 0**, i.e. with **constants**

Prove that $sum(\ell) \leq max(\ell) * len(\ell)$, for every $\ell \in Lists(\mathbb{N})$

where

- ▶ $sum(\ell)$ is the sum of all elements in list ℓ
- ▶ $max(\ell)$ is the greatest element in ℓ (with $max([]) = 0$)
- ▶ $len(\ell)$ is the number of elements in ℓ

A refresher on induction

The induction principle is very useful, as you all probably know. Let's refresh it.

- Proof method

To show that a property, say P , holds of every natural number n (i.e., to prove $P(n)$ for all n) it suffices to show that

- $P(0)$ is true &
- for all k , $P(k)$ implies $P(n+1)$

Example: for all n , $\text{sum}(n) = n(n+1)/2$ where $\text{sum}(k) = 1 + \dots + k$

- $\text{sum}(0) = 0 = 0(0+1)/2$

- for all k , if $\text{sum}(k) = k(k+1)/2$ then

$\text{sum}(k+1) = \text{sum}(k) + (k+1)$	by definition
$= k(k+1)/2 + (k+1)$	by inductive hypothesis
$= (k(k+1) + 2(k+1)) / 2$	by arithmetic laws
$= (k+1)(k+2)/2$	by distributivity of multiplication over sum on natural numbers

- Definitional mechanism

To define a set S inductively using a finite number of constructors f_1, \dots, f_n each with a finite arity on a set of 'basic elements'

- fix a set I of basic elements (you can think of the elements in I as constructors of arity 0) basis
- if e_1, \dots, e_k are in S and f is a constructor of arity k then $f(e_1, \dots, e_k)$ is an element of S induction
- nothing else can be an element of S closure

Example: $I = \{0\}$ and $s(_)$ is a constructor of arity 1, then the inductively defined set $S = \{0, s(0), s(s(0)), \dots\}$ is

- isomorphic to natural numbers

(Indeed basis / induction / and closure boil down to the axioms of Peano).

An exercise in axiomatic semantics

m1: $\text{map } f [] = []$

m2: $\text{map } f a:as = f(a):(\text{map } f as)$

Example: $\text{double } x = x+x \Rightarrow \text{map double } [1,2,3] = [2,4,6]$

i1: $\text{inverse } [] = []$

i2: $\text{inverse } a:as = (\text{inverse } as) ++ [a]$

Example: $\text{inverse } [1,2,3] = [3,2,1]$

Exercise 1

Give an inductive definition of the set of lists of natural numbers.

Prove that for all functions f and all lists as , $\text{inverse } (\text{map } f as) = \text{map } f (\text{inverse } as)$

$\text{inverse } (\text{map } f []) = \text{inverse } []$ by m1 $\text{map } f (\text{inverse } []) = \text{map } f []$ by i1
 $= []$ by i1 $= []$ by m1

$\text{inverse } (\text{map } f a:as) = \text{inverse } (f(a):(\text{map } f as))$ by m2
 $= (\text{inverse } (\text{map } f as) ++ [f(a)])$ by i2
 $= (\text{map } f (\text{inverse } as) ++ [f(a)])$ by inductive hypothesis
 $= \text{map } f ((\text{inverse } as) ++ [a])$ by lemma1: $(\text{map } f as) ++ (\text{map } f bs) = \text{map } f (as ++ bs)$
 $= \text{map } f (\text{inverse } as) ++ (\text{inverse } [a])$ by lemma2: if $\text{len}(as) = 1$ then $\text{inverse } as = as$
 $= \text{map } f (\text{inverse } a:as)$ by lemma3: $(\text{inverse } as) ++ (\text{inverse } bs) = \text{inverse } (bs ++ as)$

Exercise 2

Prove lemmas 1, 2, and 3 above.